August 9, 2010 Comments on the Various Statements and Actions of Gail Ludwig Latessa Kaleda Riplinger As Published in Seven BFTUPDATES From August, 2009 through August, 2010 By Yvonne S. Waite <u>BibleForToday.org</u> 900 Park Avenue, Collingswood, NJ 08108

856-854-4747

I. From the August, 2009 BFTUPDATE

THREE HUSBANDS & TWO DIVORCES

<u>Then I got up before the microphone and gave an ANNOUNCEMENT</u> of sorts. It was something I felt I had to say. It was difficult for me to do so.

A PHONE CALL TO GAIL RIPLINGER

In October 2007, I called GAIL RIPLINGER asking her about the rumors that were going around the e-mail world, along with three copies of three marriage licenses. WAS IT TRUE? The e-mail said she had been married three times and divorced twice. I had to know if it were true. So I called Mrs. Riplinger and asked her, "Have you ever had any other husband than Mike Riplinger?" My husband got on the phone, too. She testified to us that day that she had had only one husband. I believed her. My husband believed her. So if anyone asked us about Mrs. Riplinger's several alleged marriages, we could truthfully answer them, "She only has had one husband!" We believed this to be true. After all, we asked her personally and she denied the rumors.

GAIL & FRANK KALEDA LIVED IN A TRAILER

It was not until sometime in 2008, that I began to doubt Gail Riplinger's denial of more than one husband. For the following reasons her answer did not ring true : my husband and I had a friend <u>who had a friend who</u> <u>knew her and her second husband</u> very well. It was during the time when she and Frank, <u>her second husband</u>, lived near Kent University in Ohio. They lived in a house-trailer there. They attended a Pentecostal Church-then a Baptist one there. They were a part of some kind of campus Bible study. They appeared to be a happily wedded couple. (But I know one can't always tell by looking.) In fact, Gail wrote six books while at Kent, <u>writing</u> under her married name Gail Kaleda. When I heard all this, I was greatly troubled!

A PICTURE IS WORTH A THOUSAND WORDS

So, in the early part of 2009, another friend of ours met, by way of e-mail and phone, a former relative of <u>Mrs. Riplinger's second husband</u>. WE HAVE A PICTURE OF HER AND FRANK ON THEIR WEDDING DAY. <u>That</u> picture proved beyond a shadow of doubt that Mrs. Riplinger had lied to us. IT WAS A SAD DAY FOR ME TO REALIZE WE HAD BEEN DELIBERATELY LIED TO BY GAIL!! Her first and third husbands are living. She has been married to Mike Riplinger for about twenty-five years. They have an adult daughter, and-<u>according to the daughter</u>-they are very happy together. (But once again "happiness" can't always be proven by looking. But I have no reason to believe they are not happy.)

RIPLINGER'S DAUGHTER DEFENDS HER MOTHER

TWO MARRIAGES NOT CONSUMMATED!

Because I don't search the internet much at all, I was not aware that Mrs. Riplinger's daughter was writing a book about her mother until someone sent me this information. From what I understand from the internet, a few paragraphs of that <u>contemplated book</u> are found under Mrs. Riplinger's pastor's explanation of the three marriages and two divorces. <u>To my surprise, it was almost a verbatim copy of part of an e-mail letter</u> (July 21, 2009) I received from the daughter. The daughter had responded to my July 9-14, 2009 letter which I sent to Mrs. Riplinger's daughter's house by mistake. (I thought it was her mother's house. I can explain all this mix-up of <u>why</u> the UPS two-day-letter was sent to the wrong house--but not at this time.) In that daughter's letter, she says that the two marriages were marriages <u>only on paper</u> ("non-consummated"). I know the second husband has died. <u>According to the daughter</u>, he left "unsaved" Gail to pursue his "homosexual lifestyle"; but, the witness at Kent said the Kaledas lived together as a couple in their trailer-home, going to church and Bible studies and having friends. (I DON'T KNOW FOR SURE YET WHAT WAS WRONG WITH THE FIRST HUSBAND, BUT I AM TOLD THAT HE IS LIVING IN A HOUSE WITH A WOMAN.)

So Mrs. Riplinger (according to the daughter who was not there, anymore than I was) does not consider herself to have been married before at all. This is difficult to jibe (as I said before) with the information received from my friend's friend that for several years the second-marriage couple lived by Kent University in a trailer, went to church <u>together</u>, and were active <u>together</u> in various activities, etc. (Once again I reiterate, one can't tell the happiness of a marriage by looking.)

(Just a <u>personal</u> comment: I knows a few women who have been fooled by husbands who never consummated their marriages. This is VERY sad! But for a woman-if true--not to recognize the signs of such a man twice is beyond me.)

NOT THE MARRIAGE & DIVORCE ISSUE NOT THE INSPIRATION OF THE KING JAMES ISSUE

I do not want to go into all this any more than I have to. It is rather sordid and <u>very</u> sad. I had no idea that the pastor in Hammond, Indiana (whom I have never met or spoken with) was investigating on his own some of this situation. (I DO NOT KNOW THE MAN.) I only gathered this from what was written on the <u>HACAlumni.com</u> web page written by the Riplingers' pastor in Virginia. He implored the Indiana pastor <u>to call Gail personally</u>. Well, I did-and she lied to me. I get no thrill over this news.

IT'S THE LYING ISSUE

This whole thing, FOR ME, has nothing to do with the "MARRIAGE & DIVORCE" issue or the "INSPIRATION OF THE KING JAMES BIBLE ISSUE." It has to do with the truth. Her three marriages and two divorces are a separate question. I just wanted to know the truth. It appears to me that she has made "lies her refuge" (Isaiah 28:15). It seems that "hail" has swept away her "refuge of lies" and "the waters" have overflowed her "hiding place" (Isaiah 28:17) How much better if Gail Riplinger had answered truthfully my question, "Have you ever been married before?" when I asked it when all the rumors first began to surface. It may have been difficult to answer. The truth and its explanation would have been received–understood or not understood. But it would have been the truth. You can ask to see the letter I sent her by e-mail and UPS mail. (BFT #3420 @ \$3.00 + \$2.00 S&H)

Well-this has been a different kind of UPDATE. To be perfectly honest-I am glad that I am at the end of the page. For the entire 21-page letter and attachments, <u>CLICK HERE</u> or paste into your Web Browser this LINK-http://www.bftbc.org/.PDF/BFT/UPDATE/ATTACHMENTS/RiplingerAnswer.098.pdf

IN GOD'S WISE CARE, Yvonne. S. Waite (for Dr. Waite, too.)

II. From the Sept/Oct/Nov, 2009 BFTUPDATE

MORE ON GAIL RIPLINGER RIPLINGER LIES ABOUT HER FORMER MARRIAGES I CALLED GAIL PERSONALLY

"IS IT TRUE YOU HAVE BEEN MARRIED THREE TIMES?"

Before the Dean Burgon meeting-which was in Chicago the middle of July 2009-I decided it was time to expose Gail Riplinger's lies concerning her three marriages. <u>PREVIOUSLY</u>, MY HUSBAND AND I HAD CALLED HER ON THE PHONE IN 2007. I ASKED HER, <u>MYSELF</u>, IF SHE HAD BEEN MARRIED TWICE, BEFORE SHE MARRIED MIKE RIPLINGER. I ASKED HER IF SHE HAD BEEN DIVORCED TWICE BEFORE HER MARRIAGE TO HER PRESENT HUSBAND. You see, we had received copies of <u>three</u> marriage licenses from people. THEY SAID SHE HAD BEEN MARRIED THRICE AND DIVORCED TWICE BEFORE HER PRESENT MARRIAGE. <u>Because Mrs. Riplinger said she was not married to</u> <u>ANYONE but Mike</u>, in her defense, we believed her. So we always told people what she told us: "She said she was never married before. She told us so in her own words!"

ONLY ONE HUSBAND EVER!

THEN IN 2008, WE DISCOVERED SHE LIED TO US! Up until this time, we believed whatever she said to us, for we thought she was a woman of truth! In 2008, we learned THROUGH A FRIEND, who had a friend, that GAIL RIPLINGER was known as Mrs. FRANK KALEDA at OHIO'S KENT UNIVERSITY. (Frank was her second husband.) This surprised us greatly, as <u>she had denied any other marriages except to MIKE RIPLINGER</u>. So we made some investigations of our own in 2009; <u>but</u> I did not know how, when, or why to reveal it.

GAIL UPSET OVER AN ARTICLE SHE DID NOT WRITE

IT WAS ACTUALLY GAIL RIPLINGER, HERSELF, THAT PROVOKED ME TO EXPOSE HER MARRIAGE LIE! SHE WAS DISTRESSED THAT "HER RIPLINGER NAME" WAS ON AN ARTICLE IN THE LANDMARK BAPTIST ANCHOR WHICH SHE DID NOT WRITE! THE ANCHOR is published OUT OF LANDMARK BAPTIST COLLEGE IN HAINES CITY, FLORIDA!

IT STIRRED ME TO ACTION!! She did not want <u>any lie told about her</u> in regards to the authorship of the bogus article. It turned out that it was written by a man named HERB EVANS-a real RUCKMAN FOLLOWER. (She appears to be a PETER RUCKMAN runner-up, herself,-and even worse!)

GAIL WAS HORRIFIED THAT HER NAME WAS ON AN ARTICLE, AS THE AUTHOR, THAT SHE HAD NOT WRITTEN! In truth, she did not write it! MY COMMENT: It appears to me that Mrs. Riplinger does not care if she misquotes other people wrongly-just so no one misquotes her!

I WROTE A LETTER TO HER IN JULY '09 I SENT AN ORIGINAL WITH PICTURES BY PDF E-MAIL

All this information is in my long letter, written to her in July '09--just prior to the July 22-23 DEAN BURGON SOCIETY ANNUAL MEETING. I had hoped she would answer me before the DBS WOMEN on July 22, 2009. I was in a personal quandary. I had already PREVIOUSLY called her on the telephone. It was then that she lied to me and to my husband! I saw no point in calling her again. I felt she would continue to lie to me. It took me much longer to write that July letter than I expected it to take. It was a letter of personal, emotional pain. (Perhaps she would call and explain.) Because I wanted her to receive it quickly and hear her response (if she had any), I sent the first copy of the letter to Mrs. Riplinger by a <u>PDF E-MAIL</u>. It must have <u>gotten there prior to the DBS meeting</u>. If she had her e-mail/computer with her--if she were out of town--she would have received my PDF. Then--Isent a paper copy of the letter BY NEXT DAY UPS to what I thought was her home address-according to the phone company. (Our BFT shipper said the UPS letter would not be delivered to a box. All I had was a box number.) The telephone company was called and we sent the UPS letter to the <u>address the phone company gave us</u>. I did not realize that her home address was a rural route box number. I do not do the shipping in the BIBLE FOR TODAY. United Parcel does not usually ship to box numbers.

PICTURES OF SECOND WEDDING DAY

I HAD PROOF POSITIVE THAT SHE WAS MARRIED TO A SECOND HUSBAND! <u>I had TWO pictures of her</u>

on GAIL'S happy SECOND wedding day. (Ask us for a copy of this 21- page GAIL RIPLINGER LETTER BFT# 3420 @\$4.00 +\$2.00 S&H) I do not send the pictures in the letter (BFT #3420) as a courtesy to the man who gave them to a friend for me; but I did show them in Chicago to the women-and I think they are on the web for the DBS WOMEN `09. <u>THOSE WEDDING PICTURES WERE THE FINAL PROOF OF HER LIE</u>! **People may lie, but pictures** don't! I DID SHOW THEM TO THE DBS WOMEN. <u>This exposure has not been easy for me</u>! (And not for her either!)

DID MY UPS MAIL-LETTER GO TO THE WRONG HOUSE?

<u>I sent the "UPS Mail letter" to what turned out to be her daughter's home</u> (according to the daughter). I thought it was Gail's home. Since then, I have discovered that her daughter's home and GAIL's home are in the same place or property. So both the box number and the street address are to the same location. (For some reason, her <u>married daughter</u> still has <u>her phone listed under her maiden name of "RIPLINGER</u>" and <u>not</u> her married name--because the daughter said it was her house & not her mother's.)

Because her daughter interceded for her mother, Gail may not have received the UPS mail letter addressed to her, <u>ISENT A THIRD PAPER COPY (ADDRESSED TO GAIL RIPLINGER</u> to the box number that we have for her book deliveries. <u>Her daughter had opened my letter THAT WAS ADDRESSED TO HER</u> <u>MOTHER</u>, NOT HER, <u>and answered it</u>.

I received the DAUGHTER'S LETTER by E-MAIL when we got home from Chicago. <u>MRS.</u> <u>RIPLINGER HAS NEVER RESPONDED, HERSELF</u>, TO MY LETTER! <u>Evidently, my letter was not as</u> <u>important as having her name put on an article she did not write in the LANDMARK BAPTIST ANCHOR</u>. That *ANCHOR* LIE WAS DREADFUL FOR HER--BUT THE FACT THAT SHE LIED ABOUT HER MARRIAGES WAS NOT! I guess!.

SINCE THE DAUGHTER TOLD ME THAT I SENT THE LETTER TO HER ADDRESS AND NOT HER MOTHER'S, I WAS BAFFLED. According to the phone company, this was the RIPLINGER ADDRESS; yet, according to the "never-tell-a-lie" daughter, it was <u>not</u> her mother's address. It was her (Bryn's) address.

We recently saw some copies of legal papers from the AV PUBLICATION CORPORATION 2008 annual report, where the legal address of the corporation is 386 HAINTED ROCK LANE, ARARAT, VA. 24053. That is the very address where I sent the UPS letter to Mrs. Riplinger that her daughter said was sent to her address instead of her mother's. I have been told on good authority that this Hainted Rock Lane address is the same place as the Route 1, Box 124; Ararat, Virginia address. THE BIG FUSS THAT IS BEING MADE BY THE RIPLINGERS IS THAT I DIDN'T SEND MY LETTER TO THE ADDRESS I HAVE KNOWN FOR MANY YEARS. THIS IS JUST "SMOKE." It may be another case of denying public records! Or there may be more than one house on the property.

TWO UNCONSUMMATED MARRIAGES?

PAPER HUSBANDS

SO, <u>THROUGH HER DAUGHTER</u>, Bryn Shutt, <u>MRS. RIPLINGER IS SAYING THAT HER TWO FORMER "HUSBANDS" WERE</u> <u>ONLY "HUSBANDS ON PAPER</u>!" She reported that these "paper husbands" were "losers." According to the daughter, Gail is claiming that both husbands never consummated the marriages and at least one was a "homosexual." I do not know if this is true or false. No claim was made on the divorce papers that there was "homosexuality," or "no consummation" of either of the marriages. My husband called a brother of the second husband asking about the "homosexuality;" but, he would not talk to him.

All I do know is that Riplinger has gone around ministering in churches and colleges, receiving an honorary degree--without being forthcoming about her previous two marriages and two divorces. She has now come out with a new definition of "marriage." – It is called "a marriages on paper only."

"ANOMALY" OR "HOMOSEXUALITY"??

STRAINED FRIENDSHIPS

THE DAUGHTER WROTE AN ARTICLE IN THE SEPTEMBER '09 LANDMARK BAPTIST ANCHOR **that said**, concerning Riplinger's first two husbands the following: "These men's physical anomaly or homosexuality, neither of which she had anticipated, deferred anything but a strained friendship, wrought with their cruelty.

HER GRACIOUS PATIENCE

I looked up the word, "anomaly." In my computer dictionary it means "rare"–perhaps "irregular." The daughter goes on, "*Many years before she met my father, her gracious patience had left her waiting many years for the return and repentance of the one, now dead, who had abandoned her almost from the start to pursue his homosexual lifestyle. . . ."*

COMPLETE ABANDONMENT

I am trying to reconcile the fact that we were told in our own investigation that she and the second husband lived in a trailer near Kent University, and they attended church and Bible studies with our informant. Of course, this does not prove that the husbands did, or did not have "physical anomaly," or were "homosexual"; but, it shows that they lived together with the appearance of "man and wife." (I know of a woman married to a man for thirteen years, and left the marriage a virgin.) I am not doubting the sexual conditions, though I question them. But I am doubting the complete abandonment. Also, after one "rare" marriage, why would a woman step into a second one?

FIVE YEARS & A HOUSE

A source has come to us which summarizes well GAIL'S first two marriages with legal papers to prove the words. The source says that on the first divorce papers, there is no claim that the first husband, Mr. Latessa, could not consummate their marriage, or that he was a homosexual. In fact they remained married for five years and bought a house together.

FOUR YEARS, A HOUSE, A CORPORATION

The divorce records and deed records show she and MR. KALEDA, the second husband (who is deceased), bought a house in Kent, Ohio, four years into their marriage. That shows he did not abandon her immediately, as her daugher falsely claims. Proof of this is a public record. Four years into their marriage, FRANK & GAIL KALEDA filed <u>together</u>, with the OHIO SECRETARY OF STATE, to start a corporation called *TRUTH AND LIFE*. They both signed legal papers, listing the address in a trailer--probably the one I referred to in another part of this UPDATE. Of interest, Gail married her present husband, Mike, two months after her divorce from Kaleda. By the way, Gail did not claim "abandonment" or "homosexuality" as the reason for the divorce, or any request for an annulment because of any "physical anomaly" for either man. I am not saying these personal complaints were not there, but I question them. They were not listed for reasons for divorcing. Nor was the humiliation severe enough, if it were true, for her to get out of the marriages immediately! **How can I believe Gail or Bryn about anything**??!!

WHY DID GAIL RIPLINGER LIE?

WHAT IS TRUTH?

I REALIZE THAT IN BIBLE BELIEVING CAMPS THERE IS A CONTROVERSY OVER THE DEFINITION OF "INSPIRATION," AS WELL AS THE "MARRIAGE & DIVORCE QUESTION"--BUT MY "BEEF," IN ALL OF THIS SAD SITUATION, IS WITH <u>TRUTH</u> vs. <u>LIES</u>!

WHAT BOTHERS ME IS THAT GAIL <u>LUDWIG</u> <u>LATESSA</u> <u>KALEDA</u> <u>RIPLINGER</u> <u>LIED TO ME</u> <u>WHEN MY</u> <u>HUSBAND AND I ASKED HER DIRECTLY IF SHE HAD ANY OTHER HUSBAND BUT HER PRESENT ONE, MIKE</u> <u>RIPLINGER. SHE SAID NO</u>! No matter how I posed the question, she said, "NO!"

I know people who are divorced and remarried-some more than once-and <u>they have never lied</u> <u>to me about it-or to others</u>. They may not be proud of their past lives, <u>but they are honest</u>! Here is a woman who is featured as a "*self-taught-authority*" on the King James Bible <u>teaching her own brand of false</u> "inspiration," influencing thousands of people to her way of thinking, and manifesting a marriage & divorce pattern to be followed by other unhappy women.. YET, SHE COULD NOT BE HONEST ABOUT HER PERSONAL MARRIAGE HISTORY TO ME! No wonder some of her statements in her books are not factual!

WHEN DID THE TRUTH COME OUT?

I really do not know WHEN <u>the truth</u> came out about Mrs. Riplinger's PREVIOUS marriages! Personally, I don't think it was before the LANDMARK BAPTIST ANCHOR attributed Herb Evan's article to her. (I DON'T KNOW.) If I remember, that FALSE AUTHORSHIP was revealed in July, 2009 or so. Perhaps her daughter's defense of her mother's marriages, that was published on the HYLES ANDERSON COLLEGE'S ALUMNI'S website (NOT SURE IT IS AN OFFICIAL WEBSITE), was posted before the DEAN BURGON SOCIETY MET IN MID-JULY '09. Maybe after??? (Someone mailed it to us <u>after DBS was over</u> and <u>after DAUGHTER BRYN wrote to me</u> defending her mother.) It is not my practice to search the internet very much at all. I have all I can do to take care of my own affairs.

Bryn RIPLINGER Shutt's words on that website, with some modification, were almost exactly the same words the daughter e-mailed me around July 21. Also, they are similar words (with some EDITORIAL facts ???) written in a recent <u>LANDMARK BAPTIST ANCHOR</u> out of Haines City, Florida.

I DO NOT CLAIM TO BE THE ONE WHO BROKE THIS STORY! I was not trying to be a news breaker. I felt compelled to tell it! It was one of the hardest things I had to do! <u>I REALIZE that many people have been persuaded to defend the King James Bible because of GAIL RIPLINGER</u>! I do not take any of that fact from her. To write about this was one of the most difficult things I have had to do. <u>I felt it had to be told</u>. I don't know what caused Mrs. Riplinger to "*fess up*"-but I am glad she finally has admitted her marriage/divorce history!

BUT AS I WRITE NOW, A THOUGHT HAS COME TO ME. The "*source*" who gave us the TWO KALEDA WEDDING PICTURES asked me for Gail Riplinger's phone number. I gave it to him from my personal file. If her former brother-in-law phoned her, then she would have been aware of my investigation. That would have caused the flurry of writing the "truth" (according to Gail Riplinger) by BRYN RIPLINGER SHUTT! I have no idea if this is true or not-just conjecture on my part!

MORE UNTRUTHS BY RIPLINGER

SHE HAS PREVARICATED IN OTHER AREAS BESIDES HER MARRIAGES. <u>She lied</u> that our oldest son said that SCRIVENER "backwards translated" his Greek New Testament from English to Greek. I covered that falsehood in THE GAIL LETTER (BFT #3420 @ \$4.00 + \$2.00 S&H). Our son, D. A. Waite, Jr., never said that. But she said he did. Does that make it true? Who will be the hundreds of preacher-men, who will sit at her feet and learn that wrong fact which is a serious lie ?

A WOMAN TOLD US RECENTLY THAT SHE WAS READING RIPLINGER'S <u>HAZARDOUS MATERIAL</u>S, AND SAW OUR SON'S NAME IN THERE. She said to her husband, "I didn't know that Dr. Waite's son believed Scrivener backward translated his Greek from the English!" <u>Well, he doesn't</u>! That is one of Riplinger's lies! How many others are believing this Riplinger lie, I don't know!

RECENTLY IT WAS POINTED OUT TO ME ANOTHER GROSS ERROR IN THE <u>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u> BROCHURE. Riplinger's <u>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u> book, not only gives false information regarding D. A. Waite, Jr.'s "*supposedly*" claiming "*back translation*" by Scrivener, when he never said it; but, the part which mentioned <u>DR. MAURICE A. ROBINSON'S</u> name. Somehow Riplinger makes Robinson the editor of <u>THE</u> <u>INTERLINEAR LITERAL TRANSLATION of THE GREEK NEW TESTAMENT</u>-which title refers to George Ricker Berry's *1897* INTERLINEAR, and nothing else! The truth is that the <u>only</u> "*INTERLINEAR BIBLE*" that **Dr. Robinson worked on was JAY GREEN'S** "*INTERLINEAR BIBLE*-and then, he worked "*only*" on the OLD TESTAMENT portion! Where will her lies stop?

Then, this same person drew an astute conclusion: "Apparently Riplinger has a severe problem in getting her facts correct, and one must wonder whether to believe anything she says, particularly with what appears to be her massive 'conspiracy theory' denigrating any and all resources that deal with the original language texts, lexicons, and study materials!". I could not have said it better.

POSTMODERNIST LYING

TRUTH IS RELATIVE & SUBJECTIVE

THE END JUSTIFIES THE MEANS

I was re-listening to one of my past radio programs on THE EMERGENT CHURCH (JFWCD-11 7). I was quoting several men on the subject. One was Dr. Jeffery Koo from Singapore. HE WAS GIVING A DEFINITION OF <u>WHO A POST MODERNIST</u> was. My ears pricked up. It seemed to me that the definition fits Mrs. Riplinger to a "T" in regards to her lying about never having been married before! <u>Let me write it out for you today</u>.

"The POST MODERNIST, in his pessimism, will conclude that TRUTH is relative and subjective. There is no such thing as an absolute or subjective truth. TRUTH CAN BE ANYTHING AND ANYWHERE. Whatever claims to be true or truth is met with scepticism. Pragmatism takes over. What everworks must be right and good! The end justifies the means, even if the means of getting there is morally wrong."

GAIL RIPLINGER IS A PRAGMATIC!

DR. WAITE AND I CAME TO GAIL AS A FRIEND, AND AS A FRIEND, SHE LIED TO US. I've come to the conclusion that she is a "*postmodernist"* person. <u>Her truth is her truth</u>. It may or may not be my truth! It may not be **THE TRUTH**! She was married three times and divorced twice. **THAT IS A FACT!** I asked her if she were ever married to anyone but Mike, and <u>she said emphatically</u>, "*NO!"* I did not ask her if she consummated the marriages. I asked if she were married. **SHE IS A PRAGMATIST.** She makes her truth to be her truth and not necessarily **THE** TRUTH!

In God's care.

Yvonne S. Waite, for Dr. Waite, too

III. From the BFTUPDATE Dec.09-Jan.10

RIPLINGER'S GROSS LYING ERRORS QUESTIONS ON THE DEFINED KING JAMES BIBLE

AS WELL AS D. A. WAITE, JR'S WORD DEFINITIONS

SOMEONE SENT US A TRANSCRIPT OF AN INTERNET/PHONE/RADIO INTERVIEW PROGRAM THAT GAIL RIPLINGER WAS ON A FEW WEEKS AGO. A caller asked a question concerning <u>THE DEFINED KING JAMES</u> <u>BIBLE</u> (BFT#3000 in various styles). <u>This Bible is our Bible which was edited by our oldest son D. A. WAITE,</u> <u>JR. His personal knowledge of the Greek language is excellent</u>. He has had twenty-five class hours or more of undergraduate and graduate Greek courses, receiving all A's in Greek! That's like the equivalent of a GREEK MINOR. I doubt that Mrs. Riplinger has that many class hours of Bible Greek or Hebrew, if any. Languages were not her forte at Kent University. <u>She implied</u> that our son's"definitions" came from <u>Thayer's Greek-English</u> Lexicons, as well as <u>BROWN, DRIVER and BRIGGS Hebrew-English LEXICON</u>. "Was she there, Charlie?" <u>She also said</u> she was "afraid some of the definitions in the DEFINED KING JAMES BIBLE are the exact words of the new versions." LET ME CALM HER FEARS!!

I CALLED OUR SON TODAY

I JUST CALLED OUR SON TO SEE WHAT HE HAD TO SAY ABOUT THIS. <u>He encouraged me to look at page</u> "vii" in the front of the *DKJ Bible* where he lists what he used to "*define*" the difficult words. **He consulted five English** <u>dictionaries</u>. Most all the definitions in the Bible are English dictionary definitions</u>. For an occasional definition, the Greek or Hebrew language was used. No name of any of those dictionaries is mentioned, as it was that insignificant. **NO MENTION AT ALL OF** <u>Thayer</u> or <u>Brown</u>, <u>Driver & Briggs!</u> If those men had great sins that Mrs. Riplinger has discovered, that is too bad. SHE, ALSO, HAS A FEW IN HER LIFE, TOO–SUCH AS THREE MARRIAGES AND TWO DIVORCES, AND <u>HER LYING ABOUT THEM</u>, AS WELL AS OTHER UNTRUTHS THAT SHE HAS WRITTEN. D. A. Waite, Jr. told me now on the phone that <u>he didn't consult one modern version for definitions</u>!! If some of the definitions were the same, it is because the Greek happens to come up with the same word.

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS LIES

RECENTLY IT WAS POINTED OUT TO ME ANOTHER GROSS ERROR IN THE HAZARDOUS MATERIALS BROCHURE. Riplinger's <u>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u> book, not only gives false information regarding **D. A. Waite, Jr.'s** "supposedly" claiming "back translation" by Scrivener, when he never said it; but also, the part which mentioned <u>DR.</u> <u>MAURICE A. ROBINSON'S</u> name. Somehow, Riplinger makes Robinson the editor of <u>THE INTERLINEAR LITERAL</u> <u>TRANSLATION of THE GREEK NEW TESTAMENT</u>-which title refers to George Ricker Berry's *1897* INTERLINEAR, and nothing else! The TRUTH is that the <u>only</u> "INTERLINEAR BIBLE" that Dr. Robinson worked on was JAY **GREEN'S** "INTERLINEAR BIBLE-and then, he worked "only" on the OLD TESTAMENT portion!

Then, this same person drew an astute conclusion: *"Apparently Riplinger has a severe problem in getting her facts correct, and one must wonder whether to believe anything she says, particularly with what appears to be her massive 'conspiracy theory' denigrating any and all resources that deal with the original language texts, lexicons, and study materials!".*

I could not have said it better.

THINK ABOUT THIS

"The POSTMODERNIST, in his pessimism, will conclude that TRUTH is relative and subjective. There is no such thing as an absolute or subjective TRUTH. TRUTH CAN BE ANYTHING AND ANYWHERE. Whatever claims to be true or TRUTH is met with scepticism. Pragmatism takes over. Whatever works must be right and good! The end justifies the means, even if the means of getting there is morally wrong."

In God's Care,

Yronne S. Waite, for Dr. Waite, too

IV. From BFTUPDATE Feb-Mar, 2010

GAIL RIPLINGER & HER LEGAL THREATS

During much of this time, GAIL RIPLINGER, the author of NEW AGE BIBLE VERSIONS and other publications was making what appeared to be <u>legal threats</u> against Dr. Waite and me, as well as our oldest son, D. A. Waite, Jr. Because we have pointed out some *untruths* in her recent <u>HAZARDOUS</u> <u>MATERIALS</u> book, she has gotten all worked up, talking to a lawyer about us, seemingly threatening us with a law suit, and giving us a cut-off date for her action if we did not do what she demanded us to do in regard to corrections and retractions. What seemed very peculiar to us and many others is that she never once, in all her complaining, EVER mentioned THE LIE she told my husband and me about her not ever being married to any other man but her present husband. The truth is that she has had three legal marriages and two divorces. [See Four PDF's of Riplinger's Lies #1, #2, #3, & #4]

OLD MEN VS. YOUNG MEN

GAIL LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER infers that Dr. Waite has slipped a cog and can't think right. She infers that he is an "old man," and that younger men are far more qualified to write, preach, and think. We are not saying that young men cannot do a work for God! But to infer that Dr. Waite isn't "all there" is a laughable deduction.

WOULD GAIL RIPLINGER HAVE DISCOURAGED MOSES?

I'm so glad that GAIL LUDWIG, LATESSA, KALEDA RIPLINGER was not around during Moses'

Day! She would have tried to discourage Moses, telling him he was too old. The truth is that MOSES DID HIS BEST WORK AFTER EIGHTY! God had called him to do that work! He did not turn his job over to younger Joshua until it was time for him to die! It is good to read how the younger men followed Moses without question-except for the MURMURERS. (There are always "murmurers.") We read nowhere in the Bible of a *hint* that Moses was slipping mentally, physically, or emotionally. So with Dr. Waite-! Recently he has been healed of *congestive heart failure* for God's "*purpose*." (Romans 8:28c) It is amazing!

THERE ARE MORE MOUNTAINS TO CLIMB

All we can surmise--since my husband's <u>miraculous</u> recovery--is that God has more "mountains" for my husband to climb! Like Caleb of Old, Dr. Waite looks at the "mountain" of all there is to do in the Biblical defense of the King James Bible, as well as other language Bibles translated from the proper Hebrew, Greek, and Aramaic texts, and realizes that <u>he must not take off his mountain-climbing gear</u>. He quotes old Caleb, who must have been eighty or more at the time, when he said, "Now therefore give me this mountain, whereof the LORD spake . . .!" (Joshua 14:12a)

V. From BFTUPDATE, April-May, 2010

CLEANING UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

A REFUTATION OF GAIL RIPLINGER'S <u>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u>

by PASTOR KIRK DIVIETRO, Th.M., Ph.D.

ORDER: BFT #3457 @ \$25.00 + \$10.00 S&H

You must order this 416-page book that refutes Riplinger's fanciful 1200-page book, <u>*Hazardous Materials*</u>, which condemn and castigates Greek-study-helps, as well as words and attitudes of scholars of the past and present.

DIVIETRO GIVEN THE BOOK TO READ UNTRUTHS & EXAGGERATIONS

I REMEMBER WHEN DR. DiVIETRO told my husband that someone, out of the blue, handed him RIPLINGER'S BOOK on <u>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u>, and said, "What do you think of this book?" <u>Dr. DiVietro had never heard of the book</u>! He went home and began to read. He couldn't believe his eyes! He saw <u>UNTRUTHS</u>, <u>EXAGGERATIONS</u>, and <u>FANCIFUL TALES</u>. IT APPEARS THAT GAIL RIPLINGER THINKS ALL DICTIONARIES, LEXICONS AND BIBLE HELPS ARE NO GOOD--as well as the original Hebrew and Greek languages in which the Bible was written, as being absolutely worthless for the Christian's edification.

IMMEDIATELY, DR. DIVIETRO BEGAN TALKING INTO SOME KIND OF COMPUTER GADGET THAT TYPED HIS WORDS ON HIS COMPUTER SCREEN. Not being a well man himself, at the time, it was more convenient for him. (Of course, it made a greater challenge for his editor, Dr. H. D. Williams.) This was the method how Dr. DiVietro's spoken word became the refutation of Gail Riplinger's <u>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u>. Appropriately, Dr. DiVietro's book is entitled <u>CLEANING UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u> (BFT #3457 @ \$25.00 + \$10.00 S&H) You must get it!

DIVIETRO QUOTES RIPLINGER'S STRANGE THESIS

DR. DIVIETRO WROTE ON HIS PAGE 12, CONCERNING GAIL LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER'S WORK:

"The foundational thesis of <u>Hazardous Materials</u> (Riplinger's book) is that ALL STUDY AIDS ARE UNNECESSARY AND, IN FACT, DETRIMENTAL TO UNDERSTANDING THE WORDS OF GOD."

RIPLINGER SAYS THE APOSTLES WROTE THE BIBLE IN MANY LANGUAGES

Divietro GOES ON TO SAY ON PAGE 12 (middle of the page), "ACCORDING TO THIS THESIS (Mrs. Riplinger's) THE KING JAMES BIBLE IS NOT THE RESULT OF TRANSLATING HEBREW, ARAMAIC, AND GREEK ORIGINALS INTO ENGLISH. THE KING JAMES BIBLE IS SUPPOSEDLY THE RESULT OF PURIFYING AN ORIGINAL INSPIRED ANGLO-GERMANIC VERSION OF THE BIBLE" According to Gail Riplinger's theory: On the day of Pentecost, God gave the apostles the ability to write the Scriptures in every language of <u>Acts 2</u> and possibly in every active language in the world of that day. This position is what my husband calls <u>HERESY</u>.

RIPLINGER DOGMATIC ON ROBINSON'S INVOLVEMENT

THIS REPORTER IS PERSONABLY INTERESTED IN A LETTER FROM DR. MAURICE A. ROBINSON WHERE HE REFUTES WHAT GAIL LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER WROTE ABOUT HIM. RIPLINGER declared that Mrs. Waite was wrong, and she (Riplinger) was right in regards to Dr. Robinson's involvement with *THE INTERLINEAR LITERAL TRANSLATION OF THE GREEK NEW TESTAMENT*. This reporter first became aware of her false representation of Dr. Robinson when someone sent her a <u>HAZARDOUS</u> brochure. The person who sent it to me, mailed it because of <u>the false</u> <u>statements Riplinger said about our son, D. A. Waite, Jr</u>. It was while reading the brochure, that I saw what Riplinger was actually saying about Dr. Maurice Robinson.

DR. M. ROBINSON'S REFUTATION TO RIPLINGER

YOU CAN READ DR. ROBINSON'S REFUTATION OF THE RIPLINGER'S FALSE STATEMENTS CONCERNING HIM WHICH IS FOUND ON <u>PAGES 281-285</u>. AT THE HEAD OF THE PAGE of <u>CLEANING UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u> by DiVietro:

"... My only work on any interlinear Bible was for Jay Green (in the 1970's), and then only as Associate General Editor of the Old Testament portion, and not of the Greek New

Testament portion (which section was done solely by Green himself). I have not been and (by reason of time frame) could *never* have been involved as an "editor" of George Ricker Berry's Interlinear published in 1897 (actually Thomas Newberry's work, to which Berry only added a lexicon and synonym studies; however, since Newberry's name appears nowhere within that volume, I will refer to it as the Berry Interlinear)."

ROBINSON WROTE: "I NOWHERE SEE THAT LOGOS EVER SAID I WAS ONE OF THE 'EDITORS'"

At the bottom of that same page (Page 282) and for a couple other pages, Dr. Robinson explains further the truth concerning HIS INVOLVEMENT with <u>BERRY's INTERLINEAR</u> published in 1897. I will summerize his words. (You can read them for yourself in <u>CLEANING-UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u>. (BFT #3457 @ \$25.00 + \$10.00 S&H)

Dr. Robinson agrees that he has supplied various kinds of information to "Bible Software manufactures." He lists the Greek texts in his answer. (HIS PREFERENCE IS THE BYZANTINE GREEK TEXT FORM. DR. WAITE IS A TEXTUS RECEPTUS MAN.) Some use ROBINSON'S name on texts differently than he believes. Then he wrote at the top of <u>DiVIETRO's page 283</u> in <u>CLEANING-UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u> (BFT #3457) the following:

"I NOWHERE SEE IN THE ATTACHED ADVERTISEMENTS THAT Logos ever said I was one of the "<u>editors</u>" of the Berry Interlinear. Certainly, if Logos themselves decided to incorporate Berry's interlinear translation into their software module, that action had nothing whatever to do with me or my work, which was restricted solely to providing the Greek text of the Stephens 1550 Textus Recptus corresponding to that which is printed in Berry's volume--a text that is merely reproduced and not "edited" at all; this raw text as supplied was also accompanied by Strong's numbers and declension/parsing information equally supplied by me."

This BFT reporter knows you will find the rest of Dr. Robinson's statements edifying. Certainly it sets GAIL LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER back on her heels a bit in her <u>dogmatism</u> concerning Dr. Waite's alleged ignorance as to what is going on in the "textual world."

WHERE IS RIPLINGER'S "LAW OF KINDNESS"?

YOU, WHO HAVE READ HER 61-PAGE DIATRIBE AGAINST MY HUSBAND AND ME. KNOW, SHE HAS INFERRED THAT DR. & MRS. WAITE ARE SENILE, OLD PEOPLE WHO NO LONGER CAN THINK, REMEMBER, AND TALK—NOT ALONE WALK! She infers that we interrogated her like a Catholic priest in a confessional box. (I have inquired of Catholics and they say that no such inquisition happens.) She says we are "WONKY"--<u>a most unkind</u>, <u>unchristlike</u> word to use against anyone, even if they might be *shaky*, *tottery*, and *feeble*. (These attributes fit neither my husband or me at the present!) If any of my readers are senior citizens, you should be alarmed at such inconsiderate words and judgments from her pen. JUST BECAUSE A PERSON IS AS OLD AS MOSES WAS WHEN HE BEGAN HIS WORK FOR GOD, DOES NOT MEAN THAT A PERSON IS INCAPABLE OF PROPER MENTAL AND PHYSICAL FUNCTION. We may be "old," but we are not moldy! "THE LAW OF KINDNESS" IS NOT IN RIPLINGER'S PEN, that's for sure!! The writer of Proverbs wrote concerning a *virtuous* woman: "SHE OPENETH HER MOUTH WITH WISDOM; AND IN HER TONGUE IS THE LAW OF KINDNESS." (Proverbs 31:16)

THE APOSTLE JOHN WROTE EXTENSIVELY IN HIS SENIOR YEARS

Recently in reading III JOHN, I was greatly comforted. The beloved apostle, lived way into his senior years! He wrote his most brilliant work as a captive on Patmos Island. It is called THE REVELATION OF JESUS CHRIST. Besides that, in his nineties, he wrote, led by the Spirit of God, his other four works that bear his name at an age that *disrespectful* GAIL RIPLINGER calls "*wonky.*"

RIPLINGER'S MOTHER DIED AT SIXTY-EIGHT

Mrs. Riplinger's mother died at the age of sixty-eight. Gail's mother did not have the opportunity of becoming "wonky." Her *alcoholic father*, beat Gail, according to her daughter who wasn't there, and he died when Gail Riplinger was a toddler. Listening carefully to my RIPLINGER <u>1995</u> INTERVIEW in Durham, NC, Riplinger said <u>she was a year-and-a-half old</u> when her father died. Could GAIL RIPLINGER remember all this child beating herself?

So, Riplinger--this vilifier of reputations--did not have the privilege of watching and enjoying her parent's age. Would she have been gracious to them, if they had lived to be in their eighties? <u>The only older man</u> <u>in her life, it seems, was her alcoholic grandfather</u> *who locked her in a car when he was in a bar.* <u>This is all according</u> <u>to Riplinger's daughter who wasn't there</u>. I know nothing of her grandmother. (Bryn Riplinger has not filled us in on her.) It appears that Gail Riplinger's present example of "*old age*" has been skewed by her own past memories.

RIPLINGER BLASTS OFF LIKE A ROCKET

Perhaps those unkind words are reserved only for us WAITES or for people who dare to ask her personally about her three marriages and two divorces-or question her accuracy on other matters. A question, by the way, which she, personally, has failed to answer honestly <u>in person</u> to us, or <u>in writing to the world</u>. It is her daugher, who was not there, who explains the situation in fancy writing, leaving many questions unanswered in the minds of the reader. And GAIL RIPLINGER--instead of explaining about her past marriages herself--twirls around, BLASTING OFF like a rocket to defame the questioners.

GAIL RIPLINGER LIES ABOUT

SCRIVENER'S "BACKWARDS TRANSLATING"

MRS. LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER <u>INSISTS</u>, IN HER <u>HAZARDOUS</u> BOOK, THAT D. A. WAITE, JR. SAID SOMETHING HE <u>DID NOT</u> SAY. Riplinger claims that he said that Dr. F. H. A. Scrivener "backwards translated" the Textus Receptus Greek from English to Greek. ABSOLUTELY FALSE! DiVietro, <u>(p. 198)</u>, quotes Riplinger.

Fancifully, Riplinger wrote, "D. A. Waite, Jr. notes in his English translation of Scrivener's original edition that Scrivener's assignment was to 'backwards translate' the KJB." This same <u>FALSEHOOD</u> is mentioned in her brochure for her <u>Hazardous</u> book. In fact, your reporter saw it there long before she ever saw the book.

NO "BACKWARDS TRANSLATING" FROM ENGLISH TO GREEK

No mention was made of other "backwards translating" from English to Greek by our son. I think she is now trying to weasel her way out of her own words in a proposed second printing of her book.

D. A. WAITE, JR. ANSWERS RIPLINGER'S BOGUS STATEMENTS

DON, Jr. WROTE IN A LETTER, "YOU CAN SEARCH IN VAIN FOR THE BOGUS STATEMENTS ATTRIBUTED TO ME AND TO DR. SCRIVENER. THEY SIMPLY DON'T EXIST." (See pages 199-204 in CLEANING UP) There you

can read the whole letter from our son, the footnote author and editor of **THE DEFINED KING JAMES BIBLE** (BFT #3000 various prices and sizes for hardbacks or leather + \$8.00 S&H) and THE DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENT (BFT #3138 @ \$25.00 + \$8.00 S&H) for yourself, when you purchase <u>CLEANING-UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS: A</u> **Refutation of Gail Riplinger's Hazardous Materials** (BFT #3457 @ \$25.00 + \$10.00 S&H)

THE CASE OF THE TWO "DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENTS"

Because GAIL LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER is bent out of shape over the fact that she thinks I don't remember a big yellow 8½ x 11 inch format, <u>pre-publication COPY-MACHINE MANUAL</u>, let's talk about the two THE DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENTS. One was in <u>copy machine format</u>, a PRE-PUBLICATION EDITION, compiled in 2002. (with page numbering different) This is the one Gail Riplinger has in her hands. It was run off one year BEFORE the actual printed edition came out. The ONLY <u>official one</u> is a small hard-back professionally printed book. Let me repeat: The only professionally printed DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENT is BFT #3138 @ \$25.00 + \$8.00) it is a <u>small size, black</u>, <u>hard-back New Testament</u>!! It is an English edition of Scrivener's Greek Text, printed and copyrighted in 2003. D. A. Waite, Jr, our oldest son, compiled this excellent tool for the non-Greek reader.

ONLY ONE COPY SEEN BY THE AUTHOR

So when I read Riplinger's original comments about"backwards translating," my husband gave me that pre-publication, LARGE YELLOW BOOK. Apparently, Mrs. Riplinger thinks, because of my age, that I forgot about this pre-publication copy. She assumes much about my husband and me and our ability to function as mature human beings! Just because she herself may be declining in mental acumen (at 63 or 64), does not mean we are. She knows nothing about us except what her fertile imagination has conjured up.

TRUE--OUR SON, THE AUTHOR, DID NOT KNOW THAT A LARGE 8 ¹/₂" x 11" COPY EXISTED! <u>He never</u> saw it. He only saw the small printed one. <u>He does not live in Collingswood and is not privy to everything we do here</u>. Before I made a radio program (**JFW/124-CD @ \$10.00 + \$3.00 S&H**) with D. A., Jr., I couldn't find that BIG, YELLOW, *PRE-PUBLICATION COPY MACHINE BOOK* to show to him! It was lost somewhere. We found it and asked our shipper to send him a copy. All this fuss about nothing!-the Riplinger style! ANOTHER TRY TO **IMPEACH** THE WITNESS!

D. A., JR. REFUTES RIPLINGER'S LIES

Every statement our oldest son made on my radio program when we recorded "D. A. WAITE, JR. REFUTES RIPLINGER'S LIES" (JFW/124-CD @ \$10.00 + \$3.00 S&H) was true. Riplinger is saying he is a liar and I a senile, old, stumbling woman. She also said that Don, Jr. gave the impression that he did not use any **lexicons in defining the difficult words in the DEFINED KING JAMES BIBLE (BFT #3000 various prices).** SHE IS HAVING A VERBAL <u>ONE-WAY BATTLE</u> WITH HIM AND **THE DEFINED KING JAMES BIBLE**.

I think she is talking about the above mentioned JFW/124 radio program. My husband and I have listened and it is not there. As I was writing this UPDATE, I listened to three previous Just For Women radio programs with our son as guest, and could not find what she attributes to him. I do not know to which programs she is referring.

Don, Jr. told me personally that he used five regular dictionaries to define the words in the DKJB; and only, when necessary, used Hebrew or Greek helps to define a word. Just because one of Gail Ludwig Latessa Kaleda Riplinger's spies received an e-mail from D. A., Jr. saying what Greek works he had and may have used, does not mean that all, or even most, of the definitions came from them. She is doing everything in her power to discredit THE DEFINED KING JAMES BIBLE, I suppose because she has written a book explaining how the King James words define themselves. I read that book. It's a stupid theory. (That's when I stopped reading Riplinger!)

TWO RADIO PROGRAMS ON "THE DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENT"

Our son and I made two other radio programs that night late on the DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENT (JFW/126 @ \$10.00 + \$3.00 S&H) which Mrs. Riplinger could not have heard prior to her tirade. They are aired on radio stations now and on our website (<u>www.BibleForToday.org</u>) as well as on <u>SermonAudio.com</u>. Look for JUST FOR WOMEN. Nothing was on them either. We do not know to which radio program she is referring. It is sad that a woman must embellish truth to prove her point! I have come to the conclusion that lying is her way of coping with life. Perhaps it was that association with A DRUNKEN father and grandfather, and being locked in a car that causes her to lie. Or maybe, being married to two alleged, "non-functioning" husbands for years and years (according to her daughter) that dropped her into "never-never land." If Gail can speculate about us, I can speculate about her, too.

RIPLINGER "THE RIPPER"

Yes, GAIL RIPLINGER is ripping us with her sharp pen! Her attitude is surprising. All I did was call her on the phone and ask her if what was being said about her being married three times and divorced twice was true. As a public person, it was necessary for her to be truthful. She assured my husband and me on the phone that she was married only once-EVER! WE BELIEVED HER! <u>I wondered out loud to her WHY SHE DID NOT DEFEND</u> <u>HERSELF AGAINST SUCH LIES</u>!! <u>SHE SAID THAT GOD WOULD BE HER DEFENSE</u>. I thought, "*My what a wonderful Christian women who could ignore all this slander and keep translating some unknown tongue into a Bible."* At the time, we did not know she was a consummate liar!

RIPLINGER "THE DECEIVER"

THAT PHONE CONVERSATION WAS FRIENDLY, TALKING ABOUT SEVERAL SUBJECTS. We had no reason to think she was lying. FROM THEN ON, BECAUSE WE THOUGHT SHE WAS TELLING THE TRUTH, MY HUSBAND DEFENDED HER PRIVATELY & PUBLICALLY WHEN PEOPLE SAID DIFFERENTLY. WE SAID THEY WERE WRONG! People who knew he was defending her said my husband was either "lying or naive." Soon, after some inconsistency in her story, someone came to us (not found on any website, which we did not believe, because we believed her), we investigated OURSELVES. AFTER our own PRIVATE INVESTIGATION, WE DISCOVERED SHE HAD DECEIVED US!

It was then, we learned of someone who knew her as Mrs. Kaleda–a marriage she denied having. We learned that married name was used to write her previous books. We found two wedding pictures of her on her wedding day to the second husband whom, to us, she denied having married and whom her daugher said forsook her. I do not know if he forsook her or not, but it had to be AFTER they bought a house together and formed a non-profit corporation TOGETHER with another person.

I DO KNOW THAT SHE MET MIKE RIPLINGER A YEAR BEFORE SHE MARRIED HIM, according to the interview I had with Gail Riplinger in April 1995. I believe that had to be while she was still legally married to Kaleda who was #2. She married her third and present husband one month after the divorce from #2. She told me in our '95 interview that she knew Mike one year prior to their marriage. Her first husband is presently living with a woman who laughed when told he was said to be a "homosexual."

Now you may ask, what difference does all this make? The difference to me is her LYING. If she wants ten husbands, that's her business. If she writes fifteen books, I don't care. <u>BUT</u> when I ask a public figure (like Gail Riplinger) a direct question, and am lied to, I care deeply. <u>This was an important question, because she influences hundreds of people</u>. **TRUTH IS NOT ALWAYS EASY BUT IT IS RIGHT.**

Riplinger "THE WILD WOMAN"

Instead of admitting her deception, she has taken off like a wild *banshee* against Dr. Waite and me and others. <u>NOW WHERE IS HER ASSURANCE THAT GOD WOULD BE HER DEFENSE</u>? Where went all that spiritual piety? There is more-but I won't go "on and on." Keep posted and pray for us. My husband has defended himself, and is defending himself against her 61-page wild attacks! He is writing a book about it. Presently the title is <u>A WARNING ON GAIL RIPLINGER'S KJB & MULTIPLE INSPIRATION</u> <u>HERESY</u> (BFT #3464 @ \$13.00 + \$7.00 S&H). It should be published late in May.

RIPLINGER THE "CORRESPONDENT"

For some reason, GAIL LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER feels it was presumptuous for me to have considered myself a "FRIEND" of hers. I knew we were NOT close friends but we knew each other, and occasionally corresponded with each other–especially in the past. I remembered well a letter I received from her congratulating me on receiving an honorary degree from a school in South Dakota. My honorary degree is similar to Gail's. Only I don't go around calling myself "doctor" like she does. (In recent printed sources, it was mentioned that she received her honorary degree from a "large church." Whoever wrote that copy was mixed up. Churches do not bestow degrees, schools of learning do.)

RIPLINGER THE "FAMILIAR FRIEND"

The fact is in her 61-page diatribe against my husband, me, and others, she refers to me as "Vonnie." This shows me that at some time or other, she thought of me as a "friend," and I of her, not just an acquaintance. It has been many years since anyone has referred to me in public writing by my most intimate, personal name. It would be as if I referred to her as "little Gail" or "Rippey."

A CONFIDENTIAL LETTER?

Her daughter, her confidant, understood we were "friends" in the past. Quoting from an E-MAIL written by her daugher to my husband and me on July 21, 2009. BRYN RIPLINGER SHUTT, in speaking for her mother, grieved: "... She would be crushed that <u>ONE OF HER FEW FRIENDS</u> did not reciprocate the love and admiration she felt for them...." (EMPHASIS & bold underlining mine.) Bryn went on to say, that she (Bryn) would keep my letter confidential and <u>not tell her mother</u>, for her mother would be hurt as my letter would break her heart. SO HOW DID HER MOTHER GET MY LETTER AFTER ALL? (BFT #3420 @ \$2.50 + \$3.00 S&H)

SILLY "HOUSE NUMBER" FUSS

GAIL RIPLINGER IS MAKING A MAJOR FUSS THAT MY COMMUNICATION WAS SENT TO HER DAUGHTER'S HOUSE AND NOT TO HERS. BECAUSE I WANTED TO SEND MY LONG LETTER OF JULY 2009 (BFT #3420 @ \$2.50 + \$3.00 S&H) TO GAIL'S HOME, MY HUSBAND CALLED THE TELEPHONE COMPANY FOR THE RIPLINGER PHONE NUMBER, and got the HOUSE ADDRESS OF A RIPLINGER also. There was only one phone listed under "*Riplinger*" in Ararat, Virginia. We assumed it was the house number we were looking for. It turned out that it was the address of Bryn Riplinger Shutt who must go under her maiden name like the feminists do. (We did not want to send it to a box number.) We sent the letter 2nd Day UPS. That same day we sent the <u>same letter by PDF to her computer</u>. Later the letter was sent by first class mail to the box. So when Gail Riplinger says she did not receive my long letter, she is lying, or she must not look at ANY of her mail–EVER! I understand that the phone number is now removed from the telephone information. In case you want to know: WHEN WE RECEIVE WRONG MAIL TO OUR HOUSE, WE SEE THAT IT IS DELIVERED TO THE PROPER PERSON, WE DO NOT PURPOSELY OPEN IT, AND READ IT, AND ANSWER IT. But that is what Mrs. Riplinger's daughter did.

SILLY "NEXT-DAY MAIL" FUSS

WHILE I'M TALKING ABOUT THESE SILLY "IMPEACH" THE WITNESS" FUSSES, LET ME TELL YOU THAT my <u>UPS</u> LETTER was sent SECOND DAY AIR. Somehow in all our proof-reading, etc., the UPS "SECOND DAY" wording in my BFT UPDATE got changed to "NEXT DAY AIR." This is the letter that the daughter said she would <u>never</u> give to her mother and, if memory serves me, the mother said she didn't receive. Yet, Riplinger is answering every jot and tittle of a letter she said she did not receive!

A SISTER OR NOT A SISTER-THAT IS THE QUESTION

Speaking of "IMPEACHING" the witness! (a term I learned from Riplinger herself when I interviewed her in 1995). In October of 2007, my husband and I phoned Riplinger, asking about the truth of the three marriages and two divorces. We were receiving e-mails telling us this. One of her proofs (that those e-mails about her other marriages were not true) was that she had "two sisters." She said that the copies of the marriage licenses had no mention that she had "two sisters." This proved, according to her, that the e-mail information we were getting was false! Recently, as I was re-listening to that North Carolina interview made fifteen years ago, my ears pricked up. In that 1995 interview, MRS. RIPLINGER SAID SHE HAD NO SIBLINGS! But in 2007, she said she had two sisters. (Those "sisters" were the daughters of her father by another woman.) The best I can understand it, SHE HAD

TWO HALF SISTERS.

Of interest to me TODAY is how <u>she knew she had two sisters in October 2007</u>; but in April of 1995, (the interview date), <u>she could not remember the year of her mother's death or her exact age when she gave</u> <u>birth to her only daughter</u>. (She stated she was 39 or 40!) These are dates (my mother's death and my 5 children's births) that are ingrained in my mind.-and <u>I'm</u> supposed to be "wonky"!

WRONG NAME ON RIGHT "ANCHOR" ARTICLE

BECAUSE OF OUR FRIENDSHIP (as casual as it was), I WAS VERY TORN AND UPSET. Yet, I FELT I HAD TO REVEAL THE FINDINGS CONCERNING GAIL'S PREVARICATIONS CONCERNING HER MARRIAGES AND "BACKWARDS TRANSLATING." (Then later about Dr. Robinson.) We had much of the information for over a year, maybe two, before I wrote Mrs. Riplinger, and before I spoke to the DBS women in July of 2009. (By the way, that 21-page "letter" had nothing what-so-ever to do with Matthew 18.) I felt like the prophets must have felt when they had a message that they did not want to deliver. If I could have found a boat to Tarsus, I would have gone a-board! My feelings were very convoluted. It was very difficult. In fact, it made me ill. That is the truth.

Have you forgotten, the reason I felt it was necessary to come out with our findings last July (2009)? IT IS NEVER MENTIONED BY HER. Mrs. Riplinger's was personally mortified that an article, that she did not write, had her name on it. She was so UPSET over that lie that she made **Pastor Mickey Carter** (would she call him "wonky"?) mail an immediate correction to all the readers who saw the lie in his Hainsport, Florida "*Anchor"* paper. (It seems like Pastor Carter and Mrs. Riplinger are joined at the hip on most (if not all) of her strange "*inspiration"* <u>HERESY</u>.)

RIPLINGER'S HAMMER AND TONGS ATTACK

EXPOSING MRS. R. WAS ONE OF THE MOST DIFFICULT THINGS I HAVE EVER HAD TO DO. I KNEW SHE WOULD COME AT ME WITH HAMMER AND TONGS TO SMEAR MY HUSBAND AND ME FROM ONE END OF THE EARTH TO THE OTHER. SOMEHOW I KNEW SHE WAS NOT GOING TO DEPEND ON GOD TO BE HER DEFENSE IN THIS MATTER!! From her present tirades against us, it has turned out that untruthfulness is Mrs. Riplinger's modus operandi. Dr. DiVietro discovered this trait in her book, <u>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u>. Others saw it in her NEW AGE BIBLE VERSIONS. David Cloud exposed her <u>NEW AGE BIBLE VERSIONS</u> book. She wrote a hate book against him and others.

RIPLINGER IN A PANIC

Riplinger seems to think that Dr. Waite and I want to stop people from purchasing her <u>HAZARDOUS</u> book. That is not true. If book sales go down, her present husband might have to go back to fixing air conditioners for Sears! <u>She seems to be writing against us in a panic</u>. As for the Waites, my husband and I do not live on the BFT book sales. Presently every penny goes to our BFT ministry. Our books are a part of the ministry. We take no funds from them personally. OUR **Bible For Today** MINISTRY IS ALWAYS IN NEED! It is a hand-to-mouth daily walk.

MRS. RIPLINGER'S WRONG VIEW OF INSPIRATION

Because we have not read any of Riplinger's books for years, we did not realize <u>the EXTENT of her drift</u> from the true course of Biblical Inspiration to her skewed defense of a verbal plenary inspiration of the King James Bible and other Bibles as well. We did not know that she believes that the KING JAMES BIBLE TRANSLATORS were led by the Holy Spirit <u>exactly like the original prophets and New Testament writers were</u>. That is my understanding of her teaching. She has a very, very different view of what "*INSPIRATION"* really is. She twists the meaning of Hebrew and Greek words. Yet HUNDREDS OF PREACHERS FOLLOW HER!

HEAR DR. WAITE EXPLAIN TRUE BIBLE INSPIRATION

GET A RECENT RADIO PROGRAM by Dr. Waite and me where he explains what BIBLICAL INSPIRATION really is. Order JFWCD/127 @ \$10.00 + \$3.00 S&H. When you order his new Q & A book, SEE PAGES 5-6 in THE <u>FIRST 200 QUESTIONS ANSWERED BY DR. D. A. WAITE</u> (BFT# 3309-01 @ \$13.00 + \$7.00 S&H). There are several answers to the "INSPIRATION QUESTIONS" in different ways in different places in his book.

A WARNING ON GAIL RIPLINGER'S KJB & MULTIPLE INSPIRATION HERESY

.....Ĥ∞⊻#∽

by **PASTOR D. A. WAITE, Th.D., Ph.D.**

(BFT #3464 @ \$13.00 + \$7.00 S&H)

PASTOR WAITE REFUTES THE SCURRILOUS ATTACKS GAIL RIPLINGER THROWS AT MANY WHO DARE TO CROSS HER.

IN HER 61-PAGE TRAITORS TIRADE, RIPLINGER LASHED OUT WITH POISON PEN AT THE BIBLE FOR TODAY MINISTRIES, DR. & MRS. D. A. WAITE OF COLLINGSWOOD, NJ, their oldest son, D. A. Waite, Jr. (the author of *The Defined King James Bible* footnotes and *THE DOCTORED NEW TESTAMENT*), THE DEAN BURGON SOCIETY, THE BIBLE FOR TODAY BAPTIST CHURCH, AS WELL AS ADULLAM FILMS.

HER TIRADE VILIFICATION

Her tirade vilifies and misrepresents individuals such as H. D. Williams, Kirk DiVietro, Phil Stringer, Maurice Robinson, David Cloud, Jack Schaap, Chris Pinto, Frederick Scrivener, Theodore Beza, and Robert Barnett.

HER SLANDER & SLEAZE CIRCULATED

Because this modern-day JEZEBEL has circulated her sleaze around the world, Dr. Waite thought it was time to expose her lies and inconsiderate slander. Recently he wrote, "Gail Riplinger does not operate in a vacuum. She has a life-history that must be revealed and examined in order to assess properly the 61-page attack she has written entitled TRAITORS." With viperous words, she equates Dr. Waite and others, and all that they stand for--to Hell-bound Judas Iscariot! WHAT SLANDER!

IN GOD'S CARE,

VI. BFTUPDATE June-July, 2010

CLEANING UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

A REFUTATION OF GAIL RIPLINGER'S HAZARDOUS MATERIALS



by PASTOR KIRK DIVIETRO, Th.M., Ph.D.

ORDER: BFT #3457 @ \$25.00 +\$10.00 S&H

You must order this 416-page book that refutes Riplinger's fanciful 1200-page book, <u>Hazardous Materials</u>, which condemn and castigates Greek-study-helps, as well as words and attitudes of scholars of the past and present.

DIVIETRO GIVEN THE BOOK TO READ

UNTRUTHS & EXAGGERATIONS

I REMEMBER WHEN Dr. DiVIETRO told my husband that someone, out of the blue, handed him RIPLINGER'S BOOK on <u>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u>, and said, "WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THIS BOOK?" <u>Dr. DiVietro had never heard of the book</u>! He went home and began to read. He couldn't believe his eyes! He saw <u>UNTRUTHS</u> and <u>EXAGGERATIONS</u> and <u>FANCIFUL TALES</u>. **DIVIETRO GAVE RIPLINGER'S STRANGE THESIS ON** <u>HIS PAGE 12</u>: "The foundational thesis of <u>Hazardous Materials</u> (Riplinger's book) is that ALL STUDY AIDS ARE UNNECESSARY AND, IN FACT, DETRIMENTAL TO UNDERSTANDING THE WORDS OF GOD."

RIPLINGER'S IRRATIONAL RAZZING AND BELITTLING OLD PEOPLE

DURING THE TIME OF MY HUSBAND'S RECENT ILLNESS, GAIL LUDWIG LATESSA KALEDA RIPLINGER (of three husbands, and two divorces fame) WAS TELLING LIES ABOUT DR. WAITE AND BELITTLING HIM & ME AS TO OUR AGE AND MENTAL ABILITY.

ANOTHER NEW BOOK!! BY PASTOR D. A. WAITE, Th.D., Ph.D. WARNING!!

ON GAIL RIPLINGER'S KJB & MULTIPLE INSPIRATION HERESY BFT # 3464 @ \$13.00 + \$7.00 S&H



SEE FOR YOURSELF RIPLINGER'S DISTORTED VIEW OF INSPIRATION IN THIS 134-page book published by THE BIBLE FOR TODAY. You'll know it by the BRIGHT RED COVER and two YELLOW WARNING SIGNS on the front of it.

RIPLINGER DOES NOT CARE FOR THE GREEK AND HEBREW WORDS! They mean nothing to her! She doesn't believe that we have them. She believes that the KJB is inspired like most who believe the "originals" are inspired. Also, she believes that any Bible, since before Wycliffe, was inspired. And that there is a Bible that is "inspired" in every language of the world that has a "bible" since Pentecost. It is plain to see that RIPLINGER'S view, of what "inspiration" is, is <u>not</u> the PROPER, BIBLICAL definition! The sad fact is that many, many preachers and missionaries are her followers!

IT IS DR. WAITE'S GOAL IN THIS BOOK TO CLEAR UP, AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE, THE MANY, MANY FALSE AND UNTRUE STATEMENTS MADE BY GAIL RIPLINGER IN HER "TRAITORS" BOOK. THE BOOK IS A SETTING FORTH OF GAIL RIPLINGER'S HERESY VIEW OF THE VERBAL PLENARY INSPIRATION OF THE KING JAMES BIBLE AND OTHER BIBLES AS WELL.

RIPLINGER USED AS A GREEK AUTHORITY

FOR THE LIFE OF ME, I CANNOT UNDERSTAND HOW SOME PASTORS AND COLLEGE PRESIDENTS USE

RIPLINGER AS A GREEK AUTHORITY! Do they not know <u>any scholarly **men**</u>, of their acquaintance, who have thoroughly studied GREEK? Do they not know any knowledgeable **men** who can write on the subject except this limited woman, RIPLINGER. She is ALL OFF in her understanding of what *BIBLICAL INSPIRATION* really is? <u>Why do people sit at the feet of such a woman's untruth</u>?

VII. From the BFTUPDATE Aug.-Sept., 2010

WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN "TRANSGRESSION" & "SIN" ?

PASTOR DIVIETRO SPOKE THE FIRST AFTERNOON AROUND 3:35 P.M. HE ILLUSTRATED THE USE OF THE GREEK LANGUAGE TO HELP US READERS UNDERSTAND THE EXACT MEANING OF WORDS SUCH AS "TRANSGRESSION" AND "SIN." He used children's toy trains to help us comprehend. Some people, such as GAIL RIPLINGER, do not like the Hebrew or Greek languages at all, or the reference books to help one use the language that God used to write His Bible.

Dr. DIVIETRO WAS EXCELLENT, AS WELL AS HUMOUROUS. GREEK IS HIS FIELD OF EXPERTISE! WITH HUMOR AND ADEPT SKILL, WE SAW WHY HE WAS THE RIGHT PERSON TO REFUTE MADAME RIPLINGER'S BOOK, <u>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u>. Dr. DIVIETRO WAS AMAZED AT RIPLINGER'S Lack of understanding of the subject. SHE APPEARS TO BELIEVE THAT "ALL STUDY AIDS ARE UNNECESSARY AND, IN FACT, DETRIMENTAL TO UNDERSTANDING THE WORDS OF GOD." No wonder Dr. DIVIETRO HAD to answer and refute her with his own book that he titled <u>CLEANING UP</u> <u>HAZARDOUS MATERIALS</u> (BFT #3457 @\$25.00 + \$10.00 S&H).

CLEANING UP HAZARDOUS MATERIALS



A REFUTATION OF RIPLINGER'S HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

by PASTOR KIRK DIVIETRO, Th.M., Pd.D. ORDER: BFT #3457 @ \$25.00 +\$10.00 S&H

You must order this 416-page book that refutes Riplinger's fanciful 1200-page book, <u>Hazardous Materials</u>, which condemns and castigates Hebrew and Greek study-helps, as well as words and attitudes of scholars of the past and present.

A MESSAGE by Dr. PHIL STRINGER

THURSDAY MORNING (7-15-10) STARTED OUT WITH A BANG! Besides it being my oldest granddaughter's twenty-ninth birthday, PASTOR PHIL STRINGER OF THE RAVENSWOOD BAPTIST CHURCH in Chicago) TALKED ON "THE MESSIANIC CLAIMS OF GAIL RIPLINGER!" It was 9:10 A.M.! His advertized topic was to be on the life of WILLIAM CAREY. BUT, Because of a brand new book that has fallen into our hands "for such a time as this," Dr. STRINGER TALKED ABOUT GAIL RIPLINGER IN A WAY MOST OF US HAD NEVER HEARD BEFORE. With righteous indignation, he exposed this woman who has duped the fundamental world for years. If you hear no other message from the DBS '10 meeting, listen to this one. The book is <u>WHO IS GAIL RIPLINGER</u>? It is a MUST READ, as his talk is a MUST HEAR! (BFT 33477 @ \$7.00 + \$4.00 S&H) I KNOW YOU WILL WANT TO VIEW THIS. You can click the above or below TITLE, or you can go to our website, <u>www.BibleForToday.org</u> CLICK THE DARK BROWN BOX! Or go direct to <u>http://www.biblefortoday.org/PDF/WhoIsGailRiplinger.107.pdf</u>

"THE MESSIANIC CLAIMS OF GAIL RIPLINGER"



DR. PHIL STRINGER BECAUSE DR. STRINGER CHANGED HIS TOPIC AT THE LAST MINUTE, THERE IS NO WRITTEN TRANSCRIPT OF HIS TALK TO THE DBS ON JULY 15, 2010 YET. Since then, he has written "Messianic Claims of Gail Riplinger." (BFT #3479 @ \$4.00 + \$4.00 S&H). Here's the 22 pp. article: <u>http://www.biblefortoday.org/PDF/DBS10StringerOnRiplinger.108.pdf</u> Learn more about Mrs. Thrice-Married-Twice-Divorced Riplinger's deceit to the fundamental world! (Truthfully, it is very sad!) Perhaps if Gail Riplinger had been honest with Dr. Waite and me when we asked her in October, 2007, if the married/divorced rumors were true, much of this personal investigation would not be as sensational as it is at this time.

RIPLINGER ANSWERS ALL QUESTIONS THAT EVER WERE

IF MY MEMORY SERVES ME, IT WAS POINTED OUT IN DR. STRINGER'S DBS SPEECH THAT RIPLINGER SAID SHE COULD ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS WE EVER HAD! He said that she inferred in her <u>HAZARDOUS</u> book that we would find ALL THE ANSWERS to ALL OUR QUESTIONS! We would have NO MORE QUESTIONS LEFT to wonder about anything! WHY? FOR IN HER BOOK, SHE WOULD HAVE ANSWERED EVERYTHING WE WOULD EVER ASK!! She seemed to fancy herself as a "SOLOMON" and we, as the "questioning" Queen of Sheba.

IF WE HAD ONLY LISTENED TO DAVID CLOUD!

PASTOR STRINGER REBUKED HIMSELF, AS WELL AS THOSE LISTENING. HE SAID WE COULD HAVE PREVENTED "ALL THIS" RIPLINGER WORRY & CONCERN, AS WELL AS THE ACCEPTANCE OF SO MUCH OF HER FALSE TEACHING BY OTHERS MUCH EARLIER, IF WE HAD BELIEVED DAVID CLOUD SIXTEEN YEARS AGO! (I think he said sixteen years.) DAVID CLOUD POINTED OUT RIPLINGER'S FAULTS WAY BACK THEN. You must view this message by clicking the LINK above or go to our web site: www.BibleForToday.org and click on the **DARK BROWN BOX!**

TRUTH MIXED WITH ERROR IS NOT TRUTH!

EVEN DR. WAITE AND I WERE "TAKEN IN" BY HER SWEET TALK AND WAYS. She seemed so good and so true. My husband has since apologized to DAVID CLOUD that we did not stand with him in his previous discernment. My only excuse is that she had truth mixed with her error and we excused what seemed outlandish because of the truth. But truth mixed with error is not truth! But I will say, in our defense, the BFT stopped carrying her books a long time ago. We knew she was drifting way out there! We were troubled by many of her findings and could not understand how so many preachers and lay people did not see them, too. We had not read her recent books and did not understand the depths of her dogmatic outlandish doctrines!



Y

DR. D. A. WAITE: "WARNINGS ABOUT GAIL RIPLINGER"

OU MUST VIEW THIS MESSAGE!! Just CLICK on the above LINK or paste into a computer owser. BESIDES INFORMATION YOU MAY HAVE HEARD BEFORE CONCERNING RIPLINGER'S EVIOUS TEACHINGS, and her unkind, unchristian remarks about the Dean Burgon Society and hers including Dr. Waite and me, SHE CONDEMNS US ALL TO HELL LIKE JUDAS ISCARIOT.

"GOD INSPIRED AND BREATHED-OUT THE ORIGINAL HEBREW, ARAMAIC, AND GREEK WORDS OF THE OLD AND NEW TESTAMENTS. This miraculous event happened only once and was never repeated. Especially, this inspiration is never repeated in any translation in the past, in the present, or in the future. II Timothy 3:16 refers to this once-for-all inspiration by God of those original Hebrew, Aramaic,

and Greek Words" (Dr. Waite). YOU WILL BE FASCINATED TO LEARN THAT THE WORD "SCRIPTURE" OR "SCRIPTURES" (GRAPHE), IN ALL 52 PLACES WHERE IT IS USED IN THE NEW TESTAMENT, REFERS TO AN OLD TESTAMENT HEBREW ORIGINAL VERSE AND NOT TO ANY TRANSLATION! So when THE APOSTLE PAUL SAYS "ALL SCRIPTURE (GRAPHE) IS GIVEN BY INSPIRATION OF GOD," HE IS REFERRING TO THE OLD TESTAMENT ORIGINAL HEBREW WORDS. It is the Old Testament that is refereed to as "SCRIPTURE"! It is the OLD Testament that PAUL is teaching as the "inspired" SCRIPTURE (GRAPHE). It is the OLD TESTAMENT THAT IS THE "GRAPHE." If this seems confusing to you, you must hear Dr. Waite's message to understand it better as I am just a reporter, not the theologian! This "inspiration" is never repeated in any translation. Click the LINK below to view the message.

http://www.deanburgonsociety.org/Videos/dbs2010.htm

GAIL RIPLINGER? A WARNING FOR GOD'S SHEEP

OUT OF THE BLUE, A SEVENTY-FIVE (75) PAGE PDF BOOK CAME INTO OUR HANDS. (YOU CAN ORDER WHO IS GAIL RIPLINGER? FROM US FOR A GIFT OF \$7.00 + \$5.00 S&H; or E-MAIL BFT@BibleForToday.org for a free PDF copy.) It is written by someone named ALETHEIA O'BRIEN. It is filled with amazing facts concerning GAIL RIPLINGER'S LIFE & BACKGROUND. Some of these findings were known to Dr. Waite and me because of our own personal RIPLINGER INVESTIGATION, but others were new and mind boggling!! If only half of them are true, concerning this thrice married and twice divorced "prophetess" and teacher of men, it WOULD BE EXTREMELY UPSETTING to say the least!! HOW COULD ANYONE DECEIVE THE FUNDAMENTAL CHRISTIAN WORLD SO BRAZENLY? HOW COULD SO MANY OF US HAVE BEEN TAKEN-IN BY RIPLINGER'S LIES? I do not know the answer to this, except that the DECEIVER (SATAN) comes often as an "angel of light" (2 Corinthians 11:13-15).

For such are FALSE APOSTLES, DECEITFUL WORKERS transforming themselves into the apostles of Christ. And no marvel; FOR SATAN HIMSELF IS TRANSFORMED INTO AN ANGEL OF LIGHT. Therefore it is no great thing if his ministers also be transformed as the ministers of righteousness; WHOSE END SHALL BE ACCORDING TO THEIR WORKS!

FROM THE BOOK WHO IS GAIL Riplinger, CHAPTER I Some "RIPLINGERISMS"

- ~ Riplinger compares herself to Jael & Deborah in the Bible. (How many husbands did they have?)
- ~ Gail born in 1947; turned 26 in 1973; first divorce & legal separation Oct. 7, 1974; second divorce finalized Aug, 6, 1984.
- ∼ "Riplinger has not yet personally acknowledged the disobedient nature of her divorces and having lied about them."
- ~ In the late 1990's she said, "I was a professor at Kent State University. Now, I don't want you to think I'm a woman's lib
- person or anything, cuz I don't believe–I believe women should be keepers at home. BUT I WAS NOT MARRIED."
- ∼ Second marriage took place on Nov. 5, 1976 (Kaleda). <u>Her husband supported her</u> as she was a full-time Kent student.
- $\pmb{\sim}$ Gail was a graduate student and an instructor there, married to Kaleda .
- ~ She and Mr. Kaleda founded a non-profit philanthropic corporation named TRUTH & LIFE. They lived in a trailer...
- ~They bought a house on July 17, 1981 in Kent, Ohio.
- ~ On April 25, 1984, Gail entered a Complaint for Divorce followed by a lengthy Separation Agreement.
- ~ On July 3, 1984, his attorney said Mr. Kaleda wished to remain married to his wife. Neither man abandoned Riplinger!
- ~ It appears that Gail Riplinger met her current husband, Mike Riplinger, while she still married to her second husband.

THERE IS MUCH MORE. YOU CAN READ THEM FOR YOURSELF.

I DON'T HAVE ROOM IN THIS "BFT UPDATE" FOR MORE "RIPLINGERISMS."

\sim

A CLARIFICATION CONCERNING YSW PHONE CALLS TO GAR!



I made two phone calls to Gail Riplinger concerning the rumors of her two divorces and three marriages. THAT WAS IN OCTOBER 2007. <u>One call was WHEN I PERSONALLY CALLED HER</u> (not my husband) asking her if those rumors were true. She ASSURED ME that she only had one husband EVER in her life. I called my husband to the phone as a witness. Then, we three talked on other matters. For space sake, I will not go into them.

After receiving the same notice of the divorces & marriages from DAVID CLOUD, I called her again. <u>I DID NOT BELIEVE HIM because of HER WITNESS TO ME that she was NEVER married</u> to ANYONE BUT MIKE RIPLINGER. So, I called the same Riplinger phone number and <u>TALKED TO</u> <u>A PHONE MACHINE</u> on the subject. <u>BOTH MY HUSBAND AND I BELIEVED RIPLINGER AND</u> DEFENDED HER STATEMENT THAT SHE HAD ONLY ONE MARRIAGE-and that to Mike Riplinger.


LATER, when we "happened" to be told by a friend that there was a man out there who knew Mrs. Riplinger as Mrs. Kaleda, WE WERE SHOCKED! It was then that we made our own PERSONAL investigation of the marriage contradiction, and discovered that GAIL RIPLINGER HAD LIED TO US!! <u>She had let us defend her as being only married once</u> when all the while she knew it was NOT true. WE SAID "THEY" WERE NOT TELLING THE TRUTH AND "SHE" WAS! <u>When in reality</u>, <u>WE were the ones not telling the truth</u>. Never once has she apologized to us for her misrepresentation of herself to us.

In our own personal investigation, we received pictures of Gail on her wedding day with her second husband. My husband wrote to the state of Ohio for certified copies of her marriage licenses for which he paid.

It was not until July of 2009, that we made public our investigation findings concerning the lies of this selfproclaimed prophetess! <u>But first I wrote her a lengthy letter concerning her prevarications</u>.

I was in a quandary while writing that letter to her, as I had never done such public exposing of a person before. <u>The whole thing made me sick--literally ill!</u> I ended up in Cooper Hospital's emergency room one night. I have never told this publically before. Some people seem to think I enjoyed this awful Riplinger tale. I came out of the hospital, went home, took a brief morning nap, and boarded a plane to Chicago and DBS `09.

The very next morning after arriving in Chicago, I told the DBS Women what I had discovered concerning Mrs. Riplinger. Let me make this clear: <u>At no time by phone or letter, did I ever consider I was practicing Matthew 18</u>. Riplinger and I are not members of the same church! Matthew 18 is a local church teaching. THE WOMAN HAS WRITTEN A LAW THREAT AGAINST US, sending a copy of the threat to her lawyer (Hugh A. Richeson, Jr., Attorney at Law, c/o Florin Roebig Trial Attorneys). Because of the law threats, we, too, consulted a lawyer as she had done. We were preparing to go to court, if necessary, to defend ourselves from the lying charges she specified in her e-mail letter threatening an impending law suit. Her <u>very young</u> evangelist son-in-law wrote hateful things to us, as if he were a Jeremiah or an Ezekiel condemning Israel for spiritual adultery. Her poor, beautiful daughter has been made to defend her mother. The daughter only had second-hand-biased information concerning marriages she never knew about personally. Riplinger's pastor writes glowing words as to Riplinger's integrity and ministry. Poor man! He knows no better. I feel sorry for the whole family. <u>They are all caught in a WEB OF LIES from which they cannot seem to escape</u>! To say nothing of all the preachers and lay people who have fallen into her *prophetess* trap. And she calls us "wonky" & senile!



SEE FOR YOURSELF RIPLINGER'S DISTORTED VIEW OF INSPIRATION IN THIS 134-page book published by THE BIBLE FOR TODAY. You'll know it by the BRIGHT RED COVER and two YELLOW WARNING SIGNS on the front of it.

IT IS DR. WAITE'S GOAL IN THIS BOOK TO CLEAR UP, AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE, THE MANY, MANY FALSE AND UNTRUE STATEMENTS MADE BY GAIL RIPLINGER IN HER "*TRAITORS"* BOOK. THE BOOK IS A SETTING-FORTH OF GAIL RIPLINGER'S HERESY VIEW ON THE VERBAL PLENARY INSPIRATION OF THE KING JAMES BIBLE AND OTHER BIBLES AS WELL.

RIPLINGER DOES NOT CARE FOR THE GREEK AND HEBREW WORDS! They mean nothing to her! She doesn't believe that we have them. She believes that the KJB is inspired like most who believe the "originals" are inspired. Also, she believes that any Bible, since before Wycliffe, was inspired. And that there is a Bible that is "inspired" in every language of the world since Acts 2 on the day of Pentecost. It is plain to see that RIPLINGER'S view, of what "inspiration" is, is not the PROPER, BIBLICAL definition! The sad fact is that many, many preachers and missionaries are her followers!